

# Order No. 1920 in a Nutshell



## Disclaimers

#### Washington D.C.



# The Three Legs of the Order No. 1920 Stool

- I. Long-term regional transmission planning
- II. Evaluation and selection
- III. Cost allocation

#### Washington D.C.

## Order No. 1920's Theory of the Case

- Public utilities are not adequately planning for the future, and instead developing transmission facilities predominately through processes that are too narrowly focused and too short-term
- This leads to unjust and unreasonable rates for the sale and transmission of electricity because customers end up paying "too much for too little"
- Longer-term, more holistic planning will remedy these deficiencies





### Minimum Requirements of Long-Term Regional Transmission Planning

- A planning horizon of at least 20 years
- At least three scenarios that consider seven specific categories of factors
- In each scenario, analyze uncertain operational outcomes due to extreme weather events
- Identify transmission facilities that meet long-term transmission needs
- Measure the benefits of those facilities, including seven enumerated benefits
- Enhanced interregional coordination



### Long-Term Regional Transmission Planning: Seven Categories of Factors Used to Identify Transmission Needs

- 1. Federal, Tribal, state, and local laws affecting the resource mix and demand
- 2. Federal, Tribal, state, and local laws on decarbonization and electrification
- 3. State-approved integrated resource plans and entities' expected supply obligations
- 4. Trends in fuel costs and in the cost, performance, and availability of generation, electric storage resources, and building and transportation electrification technologies
- 5. Resource retirements
- 6. Generator interconnection requests and withdrawals
- 7. Utility and corporate commitments, and federal, Tribal, state, and local policy goals



### Long-Term Regional Transmission Planning: Seven Required Economic and Reliability Benefits

- 1. Avoided or deferred reliability transmission facilities and aging infrastructure replacement
- 2. Either reduced loss of load probability or reduced planning reserve margin
- 3. Production cost savings
- 4. Reduced transmission energy losses
- 5. Reduced congestion due to transmission outages
- 6. Mitigation of extreme weather events and unexpected system conditions
- 7. Capacity cost benefits from reduced peak energy losses



### Evaluation and Selection of Long-Term Regional Transmission Facilities

- Transmission providers are **not** required to select transmission facilities identified through the planning process
- Rather, transmission providers must establish an evaluation process and selection criteria that:
  - Are transparent and not unduly discriminatory or preferential;
  - Aim to ensure that more efficient or cost-effective long-term regional transmission facilities are selected; and
  - Seek to maximize benefits accounting for costs over time without over-building
- Transmission providers must consult with relevant state entities when developing the evaluation process and selection criteria



### Long-Term Regional Cost Allocation

- Transmission providers must develop and file with FERC an ex ante cost allocation methodology
- Transmission providers must engage with the states and seek their input in developing that methodology
- Transmission providers' methodology must comply with the bedrock cost causation principle



## **Cost Causation Principle**

- "The FPA's 'just and reasonable' standard incorporates a 'cost-causation principle." City of *Lincoln v. FERC*, 89 F.4th 926, 930 (D.C. Cir. 2024)
- Costs must be allocated in a manner that is at least roughly commensurate with estimated • benefits but need not use "exacting precision." *Midwest ISO Transmission Owners v. FERC*, 373 F.3d 1361, 1370-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004)
- Beneficiary pays. Illinois Com. Comm'n v. FERC, 576 F.3d 470, 476 (7th Cir. 2009) ("[R]ates [must] reflect to some degree the costs actually caused by the customer who must pay them.")
- Prohibition on free ridership. *El Paso Elec. Co. v. FERC*, 76 F.4th 352, 361-63 (5th Cir. 2023) ("No amount of emphasizing other competing interests permits FERC to sacrifice the foundational principle of cost-causation by refusing to allocate costs to those who cause the costs to be incurred and who reap the resulting benefits.")

#### Washington D.C.



# **Additional Resources**

- Fact Sheet: https://www.ferc.gov/news-events/news/fact-sheetbuilding-future-through-electric-regional-transmission-planning-and
- Order No. 1920: https://www.ferc.gov/media/e1-rm21-17-000