Recommended Practices for wind/solar integration studies

IEA Wind TCP Task 25: Design and Operation of Energy
Systems with Large amounts of Variable generation
in collaboration with IEA PVPS TCP Task 14

ESIG fall workshop
  23rd October, 2024

Hannele Holttinen, OA Task 25,
Finland

Technology Collaboration Programme
by iea

Contents

IEA Wind TCP

- Introduction: IEA Wind Recommended practices for wind/PV integration studies

- Recommendations for input data and scenario build up.

- Recommendations for assessing adequacy impacts.

- (Recommendations also for studying operational impacts and impacts on power system stability)

- Recommendations for analysing and presenting results.

- Summary.

Technology Collaboration Programme
by **iea**

Recommended Practices – what, why and for whom

- to provide research institutes, consultants, and system operators with the best available information on how to perform an integration study.

- can also be used as a benchmark for any existing grid integration study - what is taken into account and what is not

- Recommendations on how to perform studies: methodologies, assumptions, and inputs needed for system impact studies
  - No results (refer to our summary report for results)

EXPERT GROUP REPORT ON RECOMMENDED PRACTICES

16. WIND/PV INTEGRATION STUDIES

3RD EDITION, 2024

Task 25

Design and Operation of Power Systems with

Large Amounts of Wind Power

Edited by:

Hannele Holttinen, Recognis Oy and Niina Helistö, VTT

With contributions by:

- Madeleine McPherson, University of Victoria, Canada
- Nicolaos Cutululis, Matti Koivisto DTU; Antje Orths, Energinet.dk, Denmark
- Juha Kiviluoma, VTT, Finland
- Emmanuel Neau, EdF; Thomas Heggarty, RTE, France
- Jan Dobschinski, Denis Mende, Markus Kraiczy, Fraunhofer IEE, Germany;
- Damian Flynn, Hamed Heydari-Doostabad, UCD, Ireland
- Enrico Maria Carlini, Terna, Italy
- Jose Luis Rueda Torres, TUDelft; Germán Morales-España, TNO, TUDelft, NL
- Magnus Korpås, NTNU; Til Kristian Vrana, Sintef, Norway
- Ana Estanqueiro, Antonio Couto, LNEG, Portugal
- Lennart Söder, Henrik Nordström, Mohammad Reza Hesamzadeh, KTH, Sweden
- Bethany Frew, Barry Mather, NREL; Debbie Lew, Julija Matevosyan, ESIG, USA

And review by: Silvia Moroni, Corrado Gadaleta, Terna, Italy; Julien Cabrol, NVE, Norway; Jian Fu, USDoE, USA; Sumanth Yamujala, DTU, Denmark; Eldrich Rebello, NRCan, Canada

Edition 3 of RP16

Builds on Edition 2 from year 2018 that added solar, and distributed grid (PVPS TCP)

→a new flow chart

→Previous edition for small, and medium shares of wind/solar
→ Added a third layer, for wind/solar dominated systems

→and a lot of revisions in the text

Review process finished, published in October

IEA Wind TCP

```
┌─────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│  Power and Energy system design / scenario   │
└─────────────────────────────────────────────┘

┌──────────────┐  ┌──────────────┐  ┌──────────────────┐
│ Calculate    │  │ Calculate    │  │ Calculate dynamic│
│ operational  │  │ resource     │  │ behaviour after  │
│ impacts      │  │ adequacy     │  │ possible         │
│ assuming     │  │              │  │ contingencies    │
│ optimal use  │  │              │  │                  │
└──────────────┘  └──────────────┘  └──────────────────┘

              ◇ Result OK? ◇    No    ┌──────────────────┐
                                      │ Modify           │
                                      │ Scenario / inputs│
                                      └──────────────────┘
                    Yes

┌─────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│      Analysing and presenting results        │
└─────────────────────────────────────────────┘
```

Edition 3 of RP16

Energy system coupling becoming more important for future power systems

→ Future load scenarios, demand response from new types of loads from power2X, storage components like thermal storage coupled to power2heat

Model tools to assess future energy systems

IEA Wind TCP

Policy & Market Planning

Techno-Economic Plant Performance

Unit Commitment

Network Power Flow

System Stability

Future policy initiatives,

increased load electrification,

system operating procedures,

market structures, …

Operational flexibility,

plant cycling and system dynamics,

impact on investment decisions,

network expansion,

energy storage and demand flex, …

Technology Collaboration Programme
by iea

Input data: Recommendations for simulation tools

	Resource Adequacy/ Capacity Value	Capacity Expansion Model	UCED and reserve requirements	Power Flow	Dynamics
Wind/PV	Hourly time series capturing locational smoothing of large-scale wind/PV, representative of wind/PV power variations and time-synchronised with load data*. 30+ years of data	Hourly time series capturing locational smoothing of large-scale wind/PV power, representative of (correlated) wind/PV power variations and synchronised with load data.*	5-minute to hourly time series of at least 1 year capturing locational smoothing of large-scale wind/PV power, representative of wind/PV power variations and time-synchronised with load data.*	Wind/PV capacity at nodes, generation and load snapshots relevant for wind/PV integration, active and reactive power capabilities.	Wind/PV capacity at nodes, high and low generation and load snapshots, dynamic models, operational strategies.
Wind/PV Short-term Forecasts	Not needed for traditional resource adequacy tools.	No, but measure of uncertainty from short-term forecasts (reserve requirem.).	Forecast time series, or forecast error distribution for time frames of UCED, and reserve requirements.	May be needed in future.	Not needed.
Load	Hourly time series time-synchronised with wind/PV data.* At least 30 years of data for robust results.	Hourly time series based on historical data and predictions, for the full analysis period.*	5-minute to hourly time series coincident with wind/PV, for at least 1 year.* Load flexibility incorporated (flexible loads separately).	Load at nodes, snapshots relevant for wind/PV integration.	Load at nodes, high and low load snapshots. Dynamic models with capabilities and characteristics.
Load Forecasts	Not needed for traditional resource adequacy tools.	Not needed.	Forecast time series, or forecast error distribution for time frames of UCED and reserve requirements.	May be needed in future.	Not needed.
Network	Cross border capacity. Forced outage rates and mean time to repair for transmission corridors impacting.	Transmission line capacity between neighbouring areas.	Transmission line capacity between neighbouring areas and/or circuit passive parameters.	Network configuration, circuit passive and active parameters.	Network configuration, circuit parameters, control structures.
Other Power Plants	Rated capacities, forced outage rates (ideally as a time series), mean time to repair. Hydro power (dry/wet/normal year), with climate change impacts.	Investment cost, efficiency, fuel costs, emission factors. Ideally also operational characteristics from UCED.	Min, max on-line capacity, start-up time/cost, ramp rates, min up/down times. efficiency curve, fuel prices.	Active and reactive power capabilities, system dispatch.	Dynamic models of power plants.

An important note added:

- Climate change impact to wind/solar/hydro and load data
    - There are caveats in current climate model data, care not to increase uncertainty when data cannot be validated
    - Run simulations also with best possible data from historical measurements/weather model simulations

- Technology impact (increased capacity factors) to wind and solar data

Future scenarios should be optimised

Scenarios by assumptions   -->

- Generation mix and fuel prices

- Load projection

- Transmission expansion and reinforcements

- Flexibility assumptions – generation, load, storage

Scenarios by capacity expansion tool

- Optimised, based on technology costs and assumptions on life-time of assets

- Emission targets can be included

- Also transmission lines btw areas can be optimised

- Energy system coupling taken into account

- Flexibility inputs important – including operational practices

Recommendations for Power and energy system scenarios

IEA Wind TCP

Small amounts of wind/PV, short term
- Add wind/PV to an existing or foreseen system, with existing operational practices

Larger shares of wind/PV, longer term
- changes in the assumed remaining system become increasingly necessary and beneficial
- Capacity expansion tools recommended

Wind/PV dominated systems in future
- Changes will be so important that the system to study becomes completely different: new electrification loads, integration of IBR, reduction of synchronous machines, interaction with other energy sectors/carriers
- Capacity expansion models should be used, and all the feasibility checks for Operational impacts, Adequacy and Dynamics become more crucial to perform

Technology Collaboration Programme
by iea

Recommendations for Capacity Expansion Tools

IEA Wind TCP

Demand and storage
- Improve representation of demand flexibility, energy storage and sector coupling including access to other than electrical storage

Short-term balancing
- Include short-term balancing in order to see the impact of forecast uncertainty on the optimal capacity mix

Grid
- grid limitations and stability constraints, including grid expansion costs: network capacity is very important when determining optimal wind and solar capacity in different areas

Markets
- operational practices reflecting future system needs and services

Adequacy impacts (Chapter 4) Resource Adequacy

Power and Energy system design / scenario

Resource adequacy

Resource Adequacy:
- Metrics and methods
- Cross-border /cross-sector
- Capacity value

Network Adequacy:
Transmission grid:
- Power flow
- Steady state analysis

Distribution grid

Operational impacts

Dynamics

Result OK?

No

Modify Scenario / inputs

Yes

Results: LOLE, LOLP, EENS, capacity value of wind/solar. Efficient use of existing network or requirements for new network investments

IEA Wind TCP

Ensuring resource adequacy of scenario - recommendations

IEA Wind TCP

Neighbouring areas
- Import possibilities (including forced outage rates) during times of generation scarcity
- Recent model developments using Monte Carlo

Inter-annual resource variability
- Enough data to capture extreme events (30+ years)
- Energy adequacy
- Climate change impacts on resource availability and demand profiles

Chronological models
- To include load and storage flexibility at times of scarcity of energy
- Difference of electrification loads to existing loads, and climate change impacts on demand profiles

New adequacy metrics
- Use LOLH (Loss-of-load Hours) and LOLE (Expectation), and EUE (unserved energy), assess tail risks
- Reliability target - which critical loads must be served

# Example from Europe – ENTSO-E ERAA evolving methodology

The framework of ERAA 2023

Source:
Ralph Pfeiffer
ENTSOE
Presentation at
ESIG spring 2024

* In Europe, the LOLE criterion expresses the unserved load in terms of an average number of hours per year. This is equal to the definition of Loss Of Load Hours (LOLH) commonly used in North America.

Economic Dispatch (ED) by Monte-Carlo-Simulation to determine adequacy

EA Wind TCP

Adequacy metrics, of which values are concluded from the adequacy (ED) runs:

Loss Of Load Expectation (LOLE) [hrs/year]:
LOLE is defined as the expected (=average) value of Loss Of Load hours of all Monte-Carlo-Years.

Expected Energy Not Served (EENS) [GWh/year]:
EENS is defined as the expected (=average) value of unserved energy demand during Loss Of Load hours of all Monte-Carlo Years.

Source:
Ralph Pfeiffer
ENTSOE
Presentation at
ESIG spring 2024

Adequacy results: LOLE distribution

EU Member States, Target Year 2030, Scenario B – tail events with low probability, but high impact identified

	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Croatia	Cyprus	Czech Republic	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxem-burg	Malta	Nether-lands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden
LOLE [hrs/year]	0,55	7,14	1,08	0,008	1,49	6,77	10,98	5,37	2,15	7,11	11,19	0,07	7,55	1,45	2,93	0,12	4,94	11,19	26,4	1,54	4,46	0,1	0,01	1,39	0,41	0,92	7,16
LLD - P50 [hrs/year]	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	14	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
LLD - P95 [hrs/year]	5	40,6	4	0	5	38	56	32,6	22	39	54,4	1	41	7,8	16,8	1	36	54,4	101	7,8	21	1	0	7	2	4,8	44,6

LOLE [hrs/year]: Loss Of Load Expectation
LLD - P50 [hrs/year]: Loss Of Load Duration - 50th percentile („1-in-2-years event")
LLD - P95 [hrs/year]: Loss Of Load Duration - 95th percentile („1-in-20-years event")

entsoe

Outlook – further development of ERAA

Current Considerations at ENTSO-E

Is it necessary to monitor the pan-European development of resource adequacy for the next decade with an annual resolution and can this ambition realistically be achieved given the ERAA timeframe and the computational challenges?

Would a larger number of scenarios and sensitivities for each target year add more value than a larger number of target years?

Does ERAA already sufficiently investigate the mutual impact of capacity mechanisms in Member States on resource adequacy?

Do the ERAA scenarios adequately respect national projections, as well as Union-wide and national energy policy targets?

Is a distinction needed between the objectives of ERAA for shorter-term and longer-term target years?

Does the economic viability assessment realistically reflect the investors' decision making for new builts and retirements of generation and storage capacities, as well as demand flexibilities?

Capacity value of wind/solar - recommendations

- Capacity value is heavily system-dependent
  - need to be updated to reflect the changing system buildout, configuration, and operations

- ELCC method recommended to assess the capacity value of a certain asset
  - How much increase in load will bring same reliability/LOLP in the system when adding wind or solar (or combined): Effective Load Carrying Capability ELCC.
  - Monte Carlo methods for higher shares of wind and solar where storage and flexibility demand important to capture (not COPT as previously recommended)

- Synchronous wind/PV/load data. Number of years for robust results: 30+

- For wind and solar dominated systems
  - capacity value for separate technologies will no longer be meaningful - integrated planning approach where resource adequacy is embedded recommended

Transmission planning - adequacy of the network

IEA Wind TCP

- Recommendations report addresses network adequacy by steady-state feasibility checks (Ch 4) and stability checks (Ch 6) while transmission scenarios form part of power system scenarios (Ch 3)

  ❖ Transmission system operators' transmission planning process not in RP report

  ❖ Many more RES scenarios required than previously evaluated (probabilistic weighting)

- If steady-state and/or dynamic feasibility checks are failed then transmission network enhancement options must be investigated

  ❖ Reinforce transmission lines, or invest in grid enhancing technologies

  ❖ Combined power flow analyses and UCED required to fairly analyse dynamic line rating, and other grid-enhancing technologies, as an alternative to network upgrades

Technology Collaboration Programme
by iea

Transmission adequacy steady state checks - recommendations

IEA Wind TCP

Power flow cases to study

→

Deterministic steady-state security

→

Short circuit levels (weak grids)

- Snapshots of critical situations, considering correlation btw load
- Statical relevance of cases
- Higher shares of wind/PV: capturing variability over the year with dispatch decisions

- Power flow analyses to identify bottlenecks and ability to maintain voltage profile
- Improved network modelling to analyse grid enhancing technologies ability to mitigate

- Assess locations where short circuit levels reduced
- Screening tools for grid strength across the network

Operational impacts (Chapter 5)

Power and Energy system design / scenario

Operational impacts

UCED simulations:
- Variability and uncertainties
- Cross-border
- Coss-sector
- Flexibilities and constraints

Flexibility assessment

Resource adequacy

Dynamics

Result OK?

No

Modify Scenario / inputs

Yes

Results: Operational costs (energy, reserves, balancing). Curtailments. Reserve and ramping requirements. Flexibility needs.

IEA Wind TCP

Ensuring that the studied scenario is operable

- Ensuring sufficient flexibility to cope with demand variability and uncertainty, renewable generation variability and uncertainty, profitability and unforeseen (dimensioning) events

- Simulated with Unit Commitment and Economic Dispatch (UCED) tools, to evaluate the impact of wind/PV on the operation of other power plants

- Iteration loops /sensitivies often needed; results sensitive to base case selection (non-wind/PV case of comparison)

- Input data: at least one year of at least hourly wind/PV data – synchronous with load (and hydro) and capturing smoothing impact and forecast accuracy

Operational impacts - recommendations

IEA Wind TCP

Time series of wind/PV, load
- At least hourly resolution
- Synchronised with other weather dependent sources
- Paired with forecast uncertainty
- Hydro: wet/dry years

Base case scenario
- Results from wind/PV scenarios from comparisons are sensitive to choice of base case
- Capacity expansion models for consistent scenarios

Operational simulations
- UCED models
- At higher shares model impact of uncertainty on dispatch decisions – stochastic optimisation, rolling planning

UCED recommendations

IEA Wind TCP

Capabilities and limits of flexibility

- Ramping, min up/down times, min levels
- Neighbouring systems
- Operational practices: market options
- Other relevant energy sectors: heating, cooling, transport, P2X

Grid constraints

- From separate analysis
- Grid enhancing technologies for grid bottlenecks
- Stability constraints links to contingency reserves; locational reactive/inertia etc capability

Operating reserves

- Based on wind, solar, load forecast uncertainty
- Take care not to double count
- At higher shares include dynamic reserves, faster markets

Dynamics (Chapter 6)

Power and Energy system design / scenario

Operational impacts

Resource adequacy

Dynamics
- Frequency stability
- Voltage stability
- Rotor angle stability
- Converter-driven stability
- Resonance stability

Result OK?

No → **Modify Scenario / inputs**

Yes

Results: System is stable, frequency and voltage maintained in allowed limits

IEA Wind TCP

What to study: depending on particular system concerns

IEA Wind TCP

- Determine if the grid is sufficiently robust to sustain disturbances (temporary and and dimensioning contingencies), and capable of recovering satisfactorily

- Evaluate dynamic impacts from newly connecting generation or load to the power system – and deployment against grid code requirements

- Investigate impact of different distributed generation locational distributions

- Assess transmission limits when these are set by a combination of transient stability, small-signal stability and/or voltage stability concerns.

- Assess impact of sub-synchronous interactions as part of small signal stability analysis

- Determine optimal measures to avoid generation curtailment due to dynamic constraints.

Dynamics - recommendations

IEA Wind TCP

Selecting cases (snapshots)
- Worst case scenarios
- Foreseen operational conditions
- Based on UCED
- Initial screening with RMS modelling

Wind/PV/BESS/Load models
- suitable for studying each particular stability phenomena
- ensure correctly parametrized (validation)
- consider variety of control options that modern power electronics offer, adapted to the particular systems studied.

Stability cases relevant for the system
- Frequency / voltage stability
- Rotor angle stability (transient and small-signal)
- Resonance and converter stability
- Common mode failures

Simulation tools

- Need to move to more detailed electro-magnetic transient simulations (EMT)

- Models for components needed for evolving wind/solar technology, and new loads

FIGURE 27
Stability Simulation Environment

Source: HickoryLedge.

Recommendations: Frequency and Voltage stability

IEA Wind TCP

➢ *Frequency stability studies:*

❖ The inertia, droop and governor settings of all synchronous units, and frequency control block models and settings of all IBRs providing frequency control are needed

❖ Model any protective functions in IBRs or synchronous generators that may respond to frequency or rate of change of frequency exceeding certain thresholds.

❖ A reduced network representation may be sufficient.

➢ *Voltage stability studies:*

❖ At low wind/solar/BESS shares: stability is likely to be unaffected or even enhanced by the presence of wind turbines/PV panels if the reactive power control capabilities deployed

❖ At higher shares of wind and solar: voltage stability may be affected in certain locations with high concentration of wind/solar/BESS or system-wide as conventional generation is displaced.

Recommendations: Rotor angle stability

➤ *Transient stability studies:*

  ❖ Include effect of protection devices for both network and converter-interfaced generating equipment. Protection relay settings should not conflict with the local TSO interconnection requirements. The ability of generation to ride through multiple voltage dips within a certain period may also need to be addressed.

  ❖ Wind, BESS and solar generation can provide system support during voltage dips, and help to dampen oscillations. Proper representation of the impedance connecting the plants is crucial.

  ❖ To mitigate, fast acting reactive power response devices during and following disturbances can be applied (e.g. FACTS, synchronous compensators, and/or requiring generation/storage for that specific capability)

➤ *Small-disturbance stability studies:*

  ❖ Small-disturbance stability may be impacted if conventional generation (and associated power system stabilizers) are displaced and magnitude and direction of transmission line power flows are altered.

Recommendations – Resonance and Converter

➢ *Resonance stability studies:*

❖ Sub-synchronous torsional interaction (SSTI) and sub-synchronous control interaction (SSCI) should be investigated as part of small-signal stability analysis, particularly in relation to doubly fed (type 3) wind turbines radially connected with series line compensation. SSCI studies may also be performed for all IBRs that may become radially connected with series compensation after a number of contingencies. A range of mitigation measures including bypass filters, FACTS devices, and auxiliary (damping) controls are available and should be considered

➢ *Converter-driven stability studies:*

❖ Adequate models able of capturing the harmonic power dynamics, especially in multi-converter setups are crucial.

Analysing results (Chapter 7)

Power and Energy system design / scenario

operational impacts

resource adequacy

dynamics

Result OK?

No

Modify Scenario / inputs

Yes

Results:
Flexibility needs; curtailments; reserve and ramping requirements;
Congestions and grid reinforcement needs;
Reliability (LOLP, ENS, …)

IEA Wind TCP

# Analysing and presenting the results

IEA Wind TCP

- Iterations provide significant insights

  o importance of the main setup and scenario chosen as the basis for the results, as
  will have crucial impacts on the results.

- Comparisons to base case selected may impact results.

  o Integration cost contradictory issue – so far no accurate methods found to extract
  system cost for a single technology

- For easier comparison with other studies, present

  o Share of wind/PV, size of the power system; potential curtailments

  o all relevant assumptions and limitations of the methodology chosen:
  interconnections, flexibilities,

Use the recommended practices check-list for benchmarking your study!

Technology Collaboration Programme

by **iea**

Summary: Recommended Practices for wind/PV integration studies

- Most studies analyse part of the impacts – goals and approaches differ

- Built on many inputs and assumptions that should be transparent

- A complete study with links between phases becomes more important at higher shares of wind/PV

IEA Wind TCP

Power and Energy system design / scenario

Calculate **operational impacts** assuming optimal use

Calculate **resource adequacy**

Calculate **dynamic** behaviour after possible contingencies

Result OK?

No

Modify Scenario / inputs

Yes

Analysing and presenting results

Future work - evolution of methodologies

integration studies are becoming general system studies for energy transition

IEA Wind TCP

Larger areas

- the entire synchronous system for stability
- sharing of resources for balancing and adequacy purposes

Complexity

- increasing computational burden capturing detail
- higher resolution for larger areas, with extended time series for weather dependent events

Demand and storage

- new types of (flexible) demand and storage,
- further links through energy system coupling

Model integration

- integrated planning: methods, tools and data, overlap btw operational models
- Flexibility needs and plant capabilities within adequacy, and stability concerns for network expansion and operational tools

Cost vs. risk

- reliability interface needs revisiting
- evolution of flexibility and price responsive loads

Task 25

- Design and operation of power systems with large amounts of wind power

Main authors of this report in red

- 17 countries + Wind Europe participate

Country	Institution
Canada	UVic (M. McPherson); Uni Laval (A. Rabiee); NRCan (Eldrich Rebello)
China	SGERI (Wang Yaohua, Liu Jun)
Denmark	DTU (Nicolaos Cutululis, Matti Koivisto); Energinet.dk (Antje Orths)
Finland (OA)	Recognis (Hannele Holttinen); VTT (Niina Helistö, Juha Kiviluoma)
France	EdF R&D (E. Neau); TSO RTE (T Heggarty); Mines (G. Kariniotakis)
Germany	Fraunhofer IEE (J. Dobschinski); FfE (S. von Roon); TSO Amprion (P. Tran)
Ireland	UCD (Damian Flynn); Energy Reform (J. Dillon); SEAI (J. McCann)
Italy	TSO Terna Rete Italia (Enrico Maria Carlini)
Japan	Tokyo Uni (J. Kondoh); Kyoto Uni (Y. Yasuda); CRIEPI (R. Tanabe)
Netherlands	TUDelft (Jose Rueda Torres); TNO (German Morales)
Norway	NTNU (Magnus Korpås); SINTEF (John Olav Tande); NVE (Julien Cabrol)
Portugal	LNEG (Ana Estanquiero); INESC-Porto (Bernardo Silva)
Spain	UCLM (Emilio Gomez Lazaro); Comillas (Andres Ramos, Lukas Sigrist)
Sweden	KTH (Lennart Söder, Mikael Amelin); SvK (Robert Eriksson)
UK	Imperial College (Goran Strbac); ORECatapult (D Nwobu)
USA	NREL (B.Frew); ESIG (D.Lew, J.Matevosyan); EPRI (A.Tuohy); DoE (J. Fu)
Wind Europe	European Wind Energy Association (Vidushi Dembi)

Hannele Holttinen, Task 25 OA, hannele.holttinen@recognis.fi

Report will be published at IEA Wind TCP web site https://iea-wind.org/iea-publications/ and as IEA PVPS TCP report

Technology Collaboration Programme
by iea